THEOLOGY AND SCIENCE

Creation

COSMOLOGY

- Cosmology in thought
- Relation between cosmology and faith
- Relation between faith and reason
- Are cosmological questions relevant?

How does theology respond to scientific discovery?

- Option 1: Ignore science and theologize within the traditional cosmology
 - Proposal
 - Theological language is about the journey of salvation; it has little relevance in regard to the structure of the world
 - The meaning of theological truths is embedded in traditional cosmology, to translate this into new cosmological terms is risky
 - Science is only tentative in any case
 - Limitations
 - Theology sounds like it has nothing to say to the modern world
 - Theology speaks naively
 - Religion is only a private matter and one can believe anything, so long as it is personally satisfying
- Option 2: Denounce scientific cosmologies as contributing to atheism
 - o Proposal
 - Contemporary science operates by presupposing that God does not intervene in the world
 - This presumption is equivalent to the presumption that there is no God
 - One should not expect that science done in this way will result in a God-centered cosmology
 - The Scriptures are our only true guide to the nature of the world
 - Limitations
 - Theology stands against the contemporary world view
 - Theology promotes sectarianism
 - Reason is seen as the enemy of the faith
- Option 3: Faith statements should be conformed to the findings of science
 - o Proposal
 - Science results from the proper functioning of God-given human reason
 - It is the duty of theology to make sense of the truths of science
 - Only by re-visioning faith within the current cosmology can theology be grounded in the truth
 - Only by speaking the language of the contemporary world-view can theology be credible
 - Limitations
 - The truth status of scientific theories is always changing
 - Conforming faith statements to science may result in the loss of essential elements of the faith
 - Relevance should not be sought at the price of truth
 - There is a risk of modernism

- Option 4: The questions of faith, grounded in the Tradition, should be put in careful, long-term dialogue with the findings of science.
 - o Scientific cosmology and faith should be kept in a healthy tension.
 - o Truths of faith that cannot be easily fit into the new cosmology should not be rejected offhand or transformed without careful research
 - New cosmologies should be examined for possibly insights that they offer into the questions
 of faith

MEETING THE MATERIALIST CRITIQUE: PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

- The selfish gene
 - o Human aspirations are the same as those found in more simple life forms
 - o Human ideas of universality, ethics, and transcendence are only sophisticated ways that help our more complex brains ensure the survival of our genes
 - o Biological altruism
 - o Biological usefulness of morality
 - o Biological usefulness of faith
- John Haught
 - o Layers of explanation
 - o Scientific explanations are addressing a different aspect than theological explanations
 - o Theology addresses meaning, science addresses "grammar"
- Selfish gene?
 - o Even atheist evolutionists defend universal ethical principles
 - o Morality serves more than the survival of specific families of genes
 - o Belief goes beyond mere coping or survival
 - o See John F. Haught, Making Sense of Evolution, chapter 9

BIBLIOGRAPHY

John Haught, God after Darwin: A Theology of Evolution (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 2000). John Polkinghorne, Science and Theology: An Introduction (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1998). Jürgen Moltmann, God and Creation: A New Theology of Creation and the Spirit of God (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1993).

Mark William Worthing, God, Creation, and Contemporary Physics (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1996).